

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bo. 1450
Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/843,684 | 04/30/2001 | Jacob McGuire | 033048-062 γ | 1912 |
| 21839 | 7590 12/15/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC | | | SON, LINH L D | |
| | CE BOX 1404 RIA, VA 22313-1404 | | ART UNIȚ | PAPER NUMBER |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | , | | 2135 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20061211 | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdown injuries any positive effects on extent term | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | | | | | |
| KIM VU | | | | | |
| \not \land | | | | | |
| Examiner contacted attorney Daniel Kloke on 11/22/06 and got confirmation of no response has been or will be filed. | | | | | |
| 7. Mathematical The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | |
| 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | , | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of | | | | | |
| from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 April 2006. | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| | Linh LD Son | 2135 | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/843,684 Examiner | MCGUIRE, JACOB Art Unit | | | |
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |